

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION
No. 5:04-CR-25-BO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

WILLIAM FRAZIER, III

)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on defendant's *pro se* motion for early termination of supervised release. [DE 31].


Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1), the Court may "terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant" after the defendant has served one year of supervised release provided that certain conditions are met. First, the Court must consider certain factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Second, the Court may terminate supervised release only "if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice." § 3583(e)(1); *see also United States v. Pregent*, 190 F.3d 279, 283 (4th Cir. 1999) ("The conjunction 'and' used in the statute . . . clearly indicates that a district court must conclude that the early termination of supervised release is warranted by both the individual's conduct and also by the interest of justice.").

While the Court commends defendant for his behavior, all defendants are required to comply fully with the terms of their supervised release, and such compliance is insufficient to warrant early termination. *See Folks v. United States*, 733 F. Supp. 2d 649, 652 (M.D.N.C. 2010) (collecting cases). Upon review of defendant's motion, the letter from defendant's probation officer to the Court, and consideration of the relevant § 3553(a) factors, the Court finds that

defendant's supervised release term remains sufficient but not greater than necessary to serve the interests of justice.

Accordingly, in its discretion, the Court declines to terminate defendant's term of supervised release early. Defendant's motion is DENIED. [DE 31]. Defendant is, however, APPROVED for travel.

SO ORDERED, this 19 day of May, 2016.


TERRENCE W. BOYLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE